## EXHIBIT 9

```
288
 1
      THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
 2
      In the Matter of:
 3
 4
                             ) File No. HO-14036-A
 5
      DEEPROOT 575 FUND, LLC )
 6
 7
      WITNESS: Robert Mueller
 8
      PAGES: 288 through 387
      PLACE:
               Securities and Exchange Commission
 9
10
               100 F Street NE
               Washington, D.C.
11
               Thursday, June 24, 2021
12
      DATE:
13
          The above-entitled matter came on for hearing,
14
15
      via WebEx, pursuant to notice, at 9:03 a.m.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
           Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
25
                     (202)467-9200
```

```
366
      SEC-Americus, A-M-E-R-I-C-U-S, dash E, dash 0000001
 1
      through 4.
 2
 3
                (Mueller Exhibit 91 was marked for
      identification.)
 4
                Are you able to see Exhibit 91, sir?
           Α
                Yes.
 6
 7
                And Exhibit 91 is a four-page document that
           0
      bears a heading on the top center of the first page
 8
      Americus Diamond 12362 I-H 10 West in San Antonio,
 9
10
      Texas. And sir, I'll give you a moment to scroll
      through the four pages of Exhibit 91.
11
12
           Α
                Okay.
13
                Sir, do you recognize Exhibit 91?
14
           Α
                It appears to be for a ring purchase.
15
                And why do you say that?
           Q
                Because that's what it shows.
17
                On or about December 15th, 2018, you paid
      James Van Winkle of Americus Diamond $6,247.11 for
18
      jewellery again using the American Express card of
19
2.0
      National Wealth Solutions. Correct?
21
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection. That assumes
22
      facts not in evidence.
23
                I don't know who James Winkle [sic] is.
24
      Americus Diamond. I do remember I bought a diamond.
2.5
      does look like it says American Express.
```

```
367
                And Mr. Van Winkle, an officer of Americus
 1
      Diamond, and the reason why I mention his name is
 2
      because the charge has variously shown as being to him
 3
      or to Americus Diamond. So let me ask a fresh question.
 4
      On or about December 15th, 2018 you paid Americus
      Diamond $6,247.11 for jewellery. Correct?
 6
 7
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
           Α
                I appears so, yes.
 8
 9
                And again, you used the American Express
           Q
10
      card of National Wealth Solutions.
                I don't recall on that. It says American
11
12
      Express.
13
           Q
                Who was the jewellery for?
                The best I can remember, around that time
14
      period it was for my current wife, Kristi.
15
16
                And you married her approximately two
      months after this purchase. Correct?
17
                I believe it was more than that, but it was
18
      in early 2019 that we got married as talked about on the
19
2.0
      record.
21
                And can you scroll to the description of
22
      the jewellery you purchased?
23
           Α
                Okay.
24
                And the description says it's a lady's
25
      engagement ring. Correct?
```

368

- A Yes. That is in the description. Lady's engagement ring.
- Q In fact, on January 12th, on or about

  January 12th of 2019 you charged another \$2,802.59 to

  Americus Diamond. Correct?
  - A I do not verify -- I'm sorry. Do not remember that.
    - Q This over \$9,000 in jewellery reflects personal expenses. Correct?
      - A I don't know.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2.5

- 11 Q These expenses were paid using Deeproot
  12 company money. Correct?
  - A I refuse to answer. I invoke my right to protection under -- against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment.
  - Q Sir, we are not authorized to compel you to give evidence or testimony as to which you assert your privilege against self-incrimination pursuant to the Fifth Amendment of the United States -- to the United States Constitution and we have no intention of doing so. We also do not have the authority to compel your testimony by granting you immunity from prosecution. Any question that we ask hereafter will be with the understanding that if you wish to assert your privilege against self-incrimination you need merely state that

369

```
you refuse to answer on the grounds that your answer may
 1
      tend to incriminate you. In other words, you are not
 2
      compelled to answer any further questions if you believe
 3
      that a truthful answer to the question might show that
 4
      you have committed a crime and you wish to assert your
      privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth
 6
 7
      Amendment to the United States Constitution.
      Accordingly, if you answer questions you will be doing
 8
      so voluntarily. Do you understand all this?
 9
10
                Don't agree with all the language, but I do
      understand it.
11
12
                You should be aware that if you refuse to
13
      answer a question based on your Fifth Amendment
14
      privilege, a judge or a jury may take an adverse
      inference against you in a civil action that the SEC may
15
16
      determine to bring against you. That means that the
17
      judge or jury would be permitted to infer that your
      answer to the question might incriminate you. Do you
18
      understand?
19
2.0
                I heard what you said.
21
                Mr. Mueller, this is not the only jewellery
           0
      that you've purchased from Americus Diamond. Correct?
22
23
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
24
           Α
                I don't know.
2.5
           Q
                You bought jewellery from Americus Diamond
```

```
370
 1
      for your second wife. Leah. Correct?
                I don't remember.
 2
 3
                Sir, I am now showing what has been marked
      as Exhibit 92. And Exhibit 92 bears the Bates number
 4
      SEC-Americus-E0000005 through 8. America -- Exhibit 92
      is another four page document. It also says Americus
 6
 7
      Diamond on the top center of the front page. It also
      has the address 12362 IH 10 West in San Antonio, Texas.
 8
                (Mueller Exhibit 92 was marked for
 9
10
      identification.)
                Sir, do you recognize Exhibit 92?
11
12
           Α
                I'm looking through it.
13
           Q
                Please take your time.
                It appears to be again a purchase for
14
      jewellery, Americus Diamond, around the time that --
15
      that I was engaged to Leah as you mentioned.
17
                And at the bottom of page 2, it
      specifically gives the name of Ms. Leah Nelson.
18
      Correct? Near the description of the jewellery. I'm
19
20
      sorry. I spoke over you. I apologize.
21
           Α
                I do see that.
                You do see where it says Leah Nelson in
22
23
      Exhibit 92?
24
           Α
                Yes.
2.5
           Q
                And on or about the next day, December
```

```
371
      15th, you charged another $2,976.88 on the National
 1
      Wealth Solutions card at Americus Diamond. Correct?
 2
 3
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
                I don't know. That's not shown on this
 4
           Α
      exhibit.
                This exhibit shows that on December 14th,
 6
 7
      2015, you charged $5,351.88 using the American Express
      card of NWS at Americus Diamond. Correct?
 8
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
 9
10
                It appears to be that amount and it says
      American Express on it.
11
12
                This jewellery was purchased using Deeproot
13
      company money. Correct?
                I refuse to answer. I invoke the right
14
      against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment.
15
16
                Sir, I'm now showing you what's been marked
17
      as Exhibit 93.
                (Mueller Exhibit 93 was marked for
18
      identification.)
19
2.0
                And sir, Exhibit 93 bears the Bates range
21
      SEC-RFPA-AMEX-E-0002809 through 29 and it is another
      American Express duplicate copy account statement of the
22
23
      credit card of National Wealth Solutions ending in
24
      4251001. Sir, do you recognize Exhibit 93?
                Appears to be a duplicate copy of an Amex
2.5
```

```
372
      statement.
 1
                Do you have any reason to question the
 2
      authenticity of this account statement?
 3
           Α
                It appears to be what it is.
 4
                Sir, can I direct your attention to page 6
      of 21?
 6
 7
           Α
                Okay.
                I'd like to direct your attention to an
 8
           0
      entry on or about February 15 of 2016 for $4,845.37.
9
10
           Α
                Okay.
                Do you see that entry, sir?
11
12
           Α
                Yes.
13
                So, sir, on or about February 15th, 2016,
      you charged to the NWS Amex card $4,845.37 to Wolf
14
15
      Weddings & Events. Correct?
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
17
                I see that that's listed here on the
      statement.
18
                Wolf Weddings & Events is a full-service
19
20
      wedding company in San Antonio. Correct?
                I don't know. I assume so.
21
           Α
                And also, on or about January 22nd, 2016,
22
23
      you had earlier charged money for Wolf Weddings &
24
      Events. Correct?
2.5
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
```

```
373
                Are you asking me to looking or are you
 1
      just asking me the question?
 2
 3
                I'm just asking you the question, sir.
                I don't know.
 4
                All in all, you spent -- you charged
      $7,795.37 in expenses at Wolf Weddings & Events.
 6
 7
      Correct?
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
 8
                I don't know.
 9
           А
10
                These expenses were for your wedding to
      your second wife Leah in -- these expenses were for your
11
12
      wedding to your second wife Leah. Correct?
13
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
14
                Would appear to be around that time.
15
                And you married Ms. Leah Nelson in February
           Q
      of 2016. Correct?
17
                To the best of my memory, yes.
                You paid these expenses for Wolf Weddings &
18
      Events with Deeproot company money. Correct?
19
2.0
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
                I refuse to answer. I invoke my right to
21
           Α
22
      -- against self-incrimination within the Fifth
23
      Amendment.
24
                Sir, I'm now going to display what has been
2.5
      marked as Exhibit 94. Exhibit 94 bears the Bates range
```

```
374
      SEC-RFPA-E-0003081 through 89.
 1
                (Mueller Exhibit 94 was marked for
 2
      identification.)
 3
                Sir, can you see Exhibit 94 now?
 4
           Α
                I can.
                Exhibit 94 is another duplicate copy of an
 6
 7
      American Express card statement for the National Wealth
      Solutions credit card ending in 4 to 51001. It has a
 8
      closing date of 04/07/17. And, Mr. Mueller, do you
 9
10
      recognize Exhibit 94?
                Not specifically. It appears to again look
11
12
      like all the others to be a duplicate copy of an Amex
13
      statement for that time period.
                I'd like to direct your attention to page 5
14
15
      of 9, sir.
16
           Α
                Okay.
17
                And I'd like to direct your attention to
      charges on or about March 30th of 2017 for British
18
      Airways. Do you see those charges?
19
2.0
           Α
                I see them.
21
                Sir, on or about March 30th of 2017 you
22
      charged $2,960.41 to British Airways. Correct?
23
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
24
           Α
                I see that number on the statement.
2.5
           Q
                And on that -- on or about March 30th of
```

```
375
      2017 you also charged $2,507.33 to British Airways.
 1
 2
      Correct?
 3
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
                I do see that amount listed.
 4
                And this American Express card statement
      shows that you purchased airline flights to London in
 6
 7
      the name of you and your child. Correct?
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
 8
                I don't know.
 9
10
                Sir, did you travel to London on British
      Airways or a British Airways affiliated carrier with
11
12
      your daughter?
13
                And I've travelled before with my daughter
      on British Airways. I don't recall this specific trip.
14
15
                Sir, between September 2016 and March of
      2017, in fact, you used the American Express card in the
17
      name of National Wealth Solutions to pay over $13,000 to
      British Airways. Correct?
18
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
19
2.0
           Α
                I don't know.
21
                But these were personal travel expenses
22
      which were paid for using Deeproot company money.
23
      Correct?
24
           Α
                I refuse to answer. I invoke my right
      against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment.
2.5
```

376 Now, sir, by Deeproot company money, I'm 1 referring to money from a bank account held in the name 2 of any business of the Deeproot family of businesses. 3 Do you understand that? 4 I understand you saying that. And in particular, I'm referring to money 6 7 that originated from investor contributions to the DGRD fund or the 575 Fund. Do you understand that? 8 I understand that that's what you're doing. 10 My explaining that, does that cause you to change any of the answers you've given so far? 11 12 Α I think that my answers stand as is. 13 Q Thank you, sir. Let's go to Exhibit 95. (Mueller Exhibit 95 was marked for 14 identification.) 15 16 Sir, I'm showing now Exhibit 95 which bears 17 the Bates range SEC-RFPA-AMEX-E-0003067 through 79. And this is another duplicate copy of an American Express 18 credit card statement for the National Wealth Solutions 19 2.0 credit card account ending in 4251001. Sir, do you recognize Exhibit 95? 21 Not especially, but it appears to be a 22 23 duplicate copy of an Amex statement from that time 24 period. Sir, may I please direct your attention to 2.5

```
377
 1
      page 6 of 13?
           Α
 2
                Okay.
 3
                I'd like to direct your attention to
      charges on or about February 11th of 2017 to Disney
 4
      Cruise Lines.
                Do you see those charges?
 6
 7
           Α
                Yes.
                Sir, on or about February 11th, 2017 you
 8
      used the Amex card of National Wealth Solutions to
 9
10
      charge $6,637.61 and separately $8,953.08 to Disney
      Cruise Lines.
11
12
                Is that correct?
13
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
                I see both of those amounts there on the
14
15
      charge lines.
16
                Sir, in fact, between April of 2016 and
17
      February of 201 you used the Amex card of National
      Wealth Solutions, NWS, to charge over $26,000 for Disney
18
      Cruise Lines. Correct?
19
2.0
           Α
                I don't know.
21
                In fact, you also used the American Express
22
      card in the name of Policy Services Inc. between June
23
      2017 and March 2019 to pay over $28,000 to Disney Cruise
24
      Lines. Correct?
2.5
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
```

```
378
           Α
                I don't know.
 1
                These expenditures to Disney Cruise Lines
 2
      were personal travel for you and your family. Correct?
 3
           A
                I don't know.
 4
                This personal travel was paid for with
      Deeproot company money. Correct?
 6
 7
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
                I refuse to answer. I invoke my right
           Α
 8
      against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment.
 9
10
                Sir, between March of 2016 and March of
      2019 you also used the Amex card of National Wealth
11
12
      Solutions to pay over $15,000 to Princess Cruise Lines.
13
      Correct?
14
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
15
                I don't know.
16
           Q
                This was personal travel. Correct?
17
           Α
                I don't know.
                These charges to Princess Cruise Lines were
18
      paid for with Deeproot company money. Correct?
19
2.0
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
                I refuse to answer. I invoke the right --
21
22
      sorry. I invoke my right against self-incrimination
23
      under the Fifth Amendment.
24
                Sir, on or about February 5th, 2018, you
2.5
      charged 7,000 -- over $7,500 to cruises and more using
```

```
379
      the NWS Amex card. Correct?
 1
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection. Paul, do you
 2
 3
      have a document you're referencing?
                So I have lots of documents.
 4
           0
                MR. PRITIKIN: Okay. If you want to ask
      the question on a document I ask that you put it up.
 6
 7
                Mr. Mueller, have you ever reimbursed any
      Deeproot or Deeproot-affiliated entity for any of the
 8
      charges we've discussed on these American Express cards?
 9
10
                I don't know.
                Have you ever reimbursed any entity in the
11
12
      Deeproot family of businesses for the money we've
13
      discussed to the Utah Jazz or the payments concerning
      the Hawaii condominium?
14
15
                I don't know.
                MR. BOHR: Can we go off the record?
17
                MR. PRITIKIN: Sure.
                MR. BOHR: Let's go off the record at
18
      12:07.
19
2.0
                (Whereupon a discussion was held off the
21
      record.)
22
                MR. BOHR: Let's go back on the record at
23
      12:17.
24
                BY MR. BOHR:
                Mr. Mueller, do you understand you're still
2.5
           Q
```

```
380
      under oath?
 1
           Α
                I do.
 2
 3
                And can you confirm that the SEC and you
      had no substantive discussions while we were off the
 4
      record?
           Α
                Yes.
 6
 7
                Thank you, sir. Sir, I'd like to ask you a
           0
      question and before I ask it, I want to make abundantly
 8
      clear that I'm not asking you for any privileged
 9
10
      communications or information. But I'd like to ask you
      have you ever retained a lawyer named Rebecca Carrillo
11
12
      for personal -- a personal legal representation?
13
           Α
                That name does not sound familiar.
                It's Rebecca --
14
           0
                I --
15
16
           Q
                I'm sorry. What?
17
                So I apologize. I followed that up with I
      don't recall.
18
                So I'll spell the last name for the benefit
19
20
      of the court reporter and in case it refreshes your
      recollection. It's first name is Rebecca and the last
21
22
      name is C-A-R-R-I-L-L-O. And she's a divorce lawyer.
23
      Does that refresh your recollection, Mr. Mueller?
24
           Α
                No.
2.5
                Sir, again, without asking for any
```

381 privileged communications or substance have you ever 1 retained a law firm with the name Wilson, Pennypacker & 2 3 Thomson for personal legal matters? I believe they handled one of my divorces. 4 Α Do you ever use them for a business-related matter? 6 7 Business was in actually -- I'm sorry. It Α was two divorces and business matters were involved in 8 one of those. 9 10 A similar question. Again, without requesting any privileged communications or substance 11 12 have you ever retained a law firm by the name of Banack 13 & Langley for any personal legal representation? 14 It was personal and business to my best 15 recollection. And for the benefit of the court reporter 17 that's B-A-N-A-C-K, ampersand, L-A-N-G-L-E-Y. Did you use Deeproot company money to pay for personal legal 18 representation from Wilson, Pennypacker & Thomson? 19 2.0 I refuse to -- I refuse to respond or 21 answer. I invoke my right against self-incrimination 22 23 under the Fifth Amendment. 24 Sir, did you use Deeproot company money to 2.5 pay for personal legal representation in the law firm of

382

Banack & Langley?

1

- 2 A I think it mischaracterizes my statement
- 3 but at this point, I refuse to answer beyond that. I
- 4 invoke my right against self-incrimination under the
- 5 Fifth Amendment.
- 6 Q Sir, if I ask you whether you used Deeproot
- 7 company money for any other expenditure at any time on
- 8 the credit card of National Wealth Solutions will you
- 9 continue to assert your Fifth Amendment privilege?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Sir, if I ask you whether you used Deeproot
- 12 company money for any credit card purchase on a credit
- card in the name of Policy Services Inc. will you
- continue to assert your Fifth Amendment privilege?
- A Would you repeat that, please?
- 16 Q If I ask you whether you used Deeproot
- company money for any purchase on a credit card in the
- 18 | name of Policy Services will you continue to assert your
- 19 Fifth Amendment privilege?
- 20 A I will on some.
- 21 Q If I ask you whether you used Deeproot
- company money for any purchases on a credit card of
- Deeproot Tech will you continue to assert your Fifth
- 24 | Amendment privilege?
- 25 A I may on some.

```
383
                Sir, you used Deeproot company money to pay
 1
      for your daughter's educational expenses. Correct?
 2
 3
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
                Refuse to answer. I invoke my right
 4
           А
      against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment.
                Sir, you used Deeproot company money to pay
 6
 7
      for medical expenses. Correct?
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
 8
                I refuse to answer. I invoke my right
 9
10
      against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment.
                Sir, you used Deeproot company money to pay
11
12
      personal income tax bills. Correct?
13
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
                I refuse to answer. I invoke my right
14
15
      against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment.
16
                Sir, you used Deeproot company money to pay
      for the condominium in Hawaii. Correct?
17
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
18
                I refuse to answer. I invoke my right
19
20
      against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment.
21
                Sir, you used Deeproot company money to pay
22
      for the catering, photography, and wedding planning
23
      expenses for your 2019 wedding. Correct?
24
                MR. PRITIKIN: Objection.
2.5
           Α
                I refuse to answer. I invoke my right
```

```
384
 1
      against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment.
                Mr. Mueller, before we conclude your
 2
 3
      testimony do you wish to clarify anything or add
      anything to the statements you have made over the course
 4
      of your testimony whether yesterday or today?
 6
                Like for a chance to speak with my
 7
      attorneys before I answer that question.
                Sure. We can go off the record. How long
 8
           0
      do you need?
9
                A few minutes. Five minutes.
10
                MR. BOHR: Five minutes. Okay. Let's go
11
12
      off the record at 12:25.
13
                (Whereupon a discussion was held off the
14
      record.)
15
                MR. BOHR: We're back on the record at
16
      12:30.
17
                BY MR. BOHR:
                Mr. Mueller, do you understand you're still
18
      under oath?
19
2.0
           A
                I do.
                And can you confirm that the SEC and you
21
22
      had no substantive discussions while we were off the
23
      record?
24
           A
                Yes.
                Thank you, sir. Mr. Mueller, when we went
2.5
           Q
```